Released to public domain under Creative Commons license type BY (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). Copyright (c) 2018 SINBAD consortium - SLIM group @ The University of British Columbia.

## Solving WRI's data-augmented wave equation in 3D Bas Peters

Joint work with Chen Greif & Felix J. Herrmann

SINBAD Consortium Meeting Spring 2015, June 5



## Problem of interest

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I \\ \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

 $H(\mathbf{m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \quad \text{discrete PDE}$  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \quad \text{medium parameters}$  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \quad \text{selects field at receivers}$  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{N} \quad \text{field}$  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \quad \text{observed data}$  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^{N} \quad \text{source}$ 

 $\begin{pmatrix} H(\mathbf{m}) \\ P \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \Big\|_{2}$ 





# at every outer iteration: compute $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min$

- evaluate  $\phi(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \lambda)$  8
- update m

[T. van Leeuwen & F.J. Herrmann, 2013]

$$\mathbf{d}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \|H(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{q}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \lambda H(\mathbf{m}) \\ P \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}$$

 $\bar{\phi}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \lambda)$  &  $\nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \lambda)$ 

u



# Properties of the problem $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \lambda H(\mathbf{m}) \\ P \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}$

- *H* is indefinite, non-Hermitian
- Inconsistent
- full column rank



### Properties of the problem nz = 10







## Solution of the sub-problem

Main challenge: solve  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} =$ 

• 2D: direct factorization

### In 3D we want:

- iteratively & matrix-free
- no QR or LU factorizations
- at cost cost of a few PDE solves

$$= \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \lambda H(\mathbf{m}) \\ P \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}$$

[L. M. Delves & I. Barrodale, 1979 ; T. A. Davis, 2011]



### LS-problem in normal-equation form:

 $(\lambda^2 H(\mathbf{m})^* H(\mathbf{m}) + P^*)$ 

Split-preconditioning by  $\lambda H$  w/o computations

$$(I + H_{\lambda}^{-*}P^*PH_{\lambda}^{-1})\mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{q} + (H_{\lambda}^*)^{-1}P^*\mathbf{d}, \text{ with } H_{\lambda}\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y}$$

• m + 1 distinct eigenvalues (identity + low-rank) • even for inexact Helmholtz

$$P)\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \lambda^2 H(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{q} + P^*\mathbf{d}$$



### Exploit identity + low-rank structure:

$$(I + H_{\lambda}^{-*}P^*PH_{\lambda}^{-1})\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}$$
by solving  $H^{-*}P^* = W$ 

- $n_{\rm rec}$  Helmholtz problems (inexactly)
- low-rank factorization
- $W \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times m}$  dense but extremely skinny

 $= \lambda \mathbf{q} + (H_{\lambda}^*)^{-1} P^* \mathbf{d}, \text{ with } H_{\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y}$ 





## inverses transformed into identity + low-rank factorization



## Leverage low-rank factorization: $(I + WW^*)\mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{q} + W\mathbf{d}, \quad \text{with} \quad H_\lambda \bar{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y}$

### and invert system matrix as

$$\mathbf{y} = (I - W(I + W^*W$$

## so we only need to invert $(I + W^*W) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ (this is alway small enough to do explicitly)

## $(V)^{-1}W^*)(\lambda \mathbf{q} + W\mathbf{d}), \text{ with } H_{\lambda}\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y}$



for angular frequency  $\omega$  do // solve *m* Helmholtz problems  $H_{\lambda}^*W = P^*$  $M = (I + W^*W)^{-1}$ for right hand side i do  $\mathbf{y}_i = (I - WMW^*) (\lambda \mathbf{q}_i + W\mathbf{d}_i)$ solve for  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_i$  $H_{\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_i = \mathbf{y}_i$ end for end for



### Matrix-free algorithm

- no direct solves
- related mildly overdetermined systems [L. M. Delves & I. Barrodale, 1979]

### Computational cost:

- 1 PDE per receiver
- 1 PDE per source

Memory requirements:

- 1 vector per receiver (*W*)
- system matrix (H)
- storage for solving systems with H



### Inexact solutions to the linear systems:

for angular frequency  $\omega$  do '/ solve *m* Helmholtz problems inexactly  $\longrightarrow \hat{H}^*_{\lambda} \hat{W} = P^* + R_W$  $\hat{M} = (I + \hat{W}^* \hat{W})^{-1}$ for right hand side  $\mathbf{b}_i$  do  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i = \left(I - \hat{W}\hat{M}\hat{W}^*\right)\left(\lambda\mathbf{q}_i + \hat{W}\mathbf{d}_i\right)$ solve for  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_i$  inexactly  $H_{\lambda}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{i} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i} + \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{u}}$ end for end for







### error propagation (1 right-hand-side, 1 receiver case):





### error propagation (1 right-hand-side, 1 receiver case):



solve as: 
$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (I - \hat{m}\hat{\mathbf{w}}\hat{\mathbf{w}}^*)(\lambda \mathbf{q} + \hat{\mathbf{w}}d)$$
  
with  $\hat{m} = \frac{1}{1 + \hat{\mathbf{w}}^*\hat{\mathbf{w}}}$ 



- several bounds derived
- Multi-stage error propagation makes deriving (useful) bounds in terms of observables very challenging.
- Very pessimistic bound may be all what is possible.
- The aim is to obtain (asymptotically) correct dependence on condition numbers / spectral norms of involved matrices and relative residuals.
- work in progress



## **Suggested PDE-solver**

need to store 1 vector per receiver -> use PDE-solver with low-memory & setup requirements

### Helmholtz:

- [A. Bjorck & T. Elfving, 1979; D. Gordon & R. Gordon, 2010; • CGMN (only 4 vectors) / CARP-CG T. van Leeuwen & F.J. Herrmann, 2014]
- shifted-Laplacian w/ multi-grid [Y.A. Erlangga, 2008; H. Calandra et al., 2013] [R. Lago & F.J. Herrmann, 2015]
- combination of the above



Simultaneous sources reduce the number of sources to be modeled. Can we use similar ideas with the proposed algorithm? Memory and computational cost now depends on sources + receivers.



What is the number of receivers is too large, storage wise?

Can we approximate the least-squares problem using randomization & subsampling (simultaneous receivers)?

Use ideas from algorithms such as

- [V Rokhlin & M Tygert, 2008]
- Blendenpik [H. Avron et. al., 2010]
- LSRN [X. Meng, M. A. Saunders, M. W. Mahoney, 2014]



### Blendenpik:

- system.
- as a preconditioner for LSQR to solve the original problem.
- Use R from QR of the approximated and well conditioned problem • Define randomize & subsample matrix as: V = SFD,

 $D \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ random [+  $F \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ DFT matr

### • Randomize (mix the rows) and subsample a very overdetermined

$$V \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times m}, \quad l < m$$

$$-1$$
,  $-1$ ] on the diagonal diagonal diagonal

 $S \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times m}$  subsampling matrix, restriction of the identity



Initial attempt in this work:

for a one-step approximation:

 $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \lambda H(\mathbf{u}) \\ V \end{bmatrix} \right\|$ 

 $V \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times m}, \quad l < m$ 

What should V be ? ongoing research, use V = SFDto illustrate the principle

### apply randomization and subsampling to the receiver block only

$$\binom{\mathbf{m}}{P}\mathbf{u} - \binom{\lambda \mathbf{q}}{V \mathbf{d}} \Big\|_2$$



Initial attempt in this work:

for a one-step approximation:

 $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \lambda H(V) \\ V \end{pmatrix} \right\|$ 

 $V \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times m}, \quad l < m$ 

### reduces

- # of PDE solves
- # vectors to be stored

### apply randomization and subsampling to the receiver block only

$$\binom{\mathbf{m}}{P}\mathbf{u} - \binom{\lambda \mathbf{q}}{V \mathbf{d}} \Big\|_{2}$$



 $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \lambda H(\mathbf{u}) \\ V H(\mathbf{u}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{V}$ 

Approximates system matrix and right hand side as:

 $\left(\lambda^2 H(\mathbf{m})^* H(\mathbf{m}) + P^* V^* V\right)$ 

$$\binom{\mathbf{m}}{P} \mathbf{u} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{q} \\ V \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \Big\|_2$$

$$VP$$
) $\mathbf{\bar{u}} = \lambda^2 H(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{q} + P^*V^*V\mathbf{d}.$ 



Example:

investigate error in the fields and in the resulting gradient, introduced by subsampling and randomization.

for now, assume:

- solve linear systems exactly
- fixed number of sources (30)
- 1 frequency (4Hz)



Example:	500 -
<ul> <li>100 receivers in total</li> </ul>	1000
(~55 m interval)	
<ul> <li>only work with randomized</li> </ul>	1500 -
subsets of varying size	
(previous slides)	0
<ul> <li>30 sources</li> </ul>	
(~200 m interval)	
<ul> <li>1 frequency (4Hz)</li> </ul>	0 =
	500 -
	1000 -
	1500 -















## True gradient,



same image twice, different color scale





gradient based on 100 sim receivers







gradient based on 90 sim receivers







gradient based on 80 sim receivers







gradient based on 70 sim receivers







gradient based on 60 sim receivers







gradient based on 50 sim receivers







gradient based on 40 sim receivers







### gradient based on 30 sim receivers







gradient based on 20 sim receivers









gradient based on 10 sim receivers





Simultaneous receivers



S













![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **3D Example** - true model

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

10 x 10 x 2 km, 5 Hz, 27-point discretization, ~1e7 grid points, source at [0,0,0]

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- Enables WRI in 3D.
- Accepts any Helmholtz solver for the sub-problems.
- Compute 1 Helmholtz problem per source and 1 per receiver.
- Store 1 vector per receiver.
- Can use simultaneous receivers to reduce computational cost and memory use.
- Proposed algorithm might be used for other large-scale mildly overdetermined problems w/ many variables & few constraints.

![](_page_47_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Future work

- Answer some open questions on how to combine simultaneous receiver and simultaneous source principles.
- Adapt optimization algorithms to be able to work with simultaneous sources & receivers. (partially done)
- Error bound derivations are work in progress.

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Acknowledgements

# Tristan van Leeuwen, Art Petrenko & Rafael Lago for the CGMN & CARP-CG implementation

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

This work was in part financially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada via the Collaborative Research and Development Grant DNOISEII (375142--08). This research was carried out as part of the SINBAD II project which is supported by the following organizations: BG Group, BGP, CGG, Chevron, ConocoPhillips, DownUnder GeoSolutions, Hess, Petrobras, PGS, Schlumberger, Sub Salt Solutions and Woodside

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

## References

- 1. A. Bjorck and T. Elfving, Accelerated projection methods for computing pseudoinverse solutions of systems of linear equations, BIT, 19 (1979), pp. 145–163.
- Parallel Computing, 36 (2010), pp. 495–515.
- 3. Calandra, H., Gratton, S., Pinel, X. and Vasseur, X. [2013] An improved two-grid preconditioner for the solution of three-dimensional Helmholtz problems in heterogeneous media. Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications.
- 4. Erlangga, Y.A. [2008] Advances in iterative methods and preconditioners for the Helmholtz equation. Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 15, 37–66.
- Journal of Applied Mathematics 24.2 (1979): 149-156.
- 6. Rafael Lago, Felix J. Herrmann. Towards a robust geometric multigrid scheme for {Helmholtz} equation, Tech Report, UBC, 2015.
- 7. Avron, Haim, Petar Maymounkov, and Sivan Toledo. "Blendenpik: Supercharging LAPACK's least-squares solver." SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 32.3 (2010): 1217-1236.
- 8. Meng, Xiangrui, Michael A. Saunders, and Michael W. Mahoney. "LSRN: A parallel iterative solver for strongly over-or underdetermined systems." SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 36.2 (2014): C95-C118.

2. D. Gordon and R. Gordon, CARP-CG: A robust and efficient parallel solver for linear systems, applied to strongly convection dominated pdes,

5. Delves, L. M., and I. Barrodale. "A fast direct method for the least squares solution of slightly overdetermined sets of linear equations." IMA

![](_page_50_Picture_17.jpeg)