Released to public domain under Creative Commons license type BY (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). Copyright (c) 2018 SINBAD consortium - SLIM group @ The University of British Columbia.

Time-jittered marine acquisition Low-rank v/s sparsity

Rajiv Kumar, Haneet Wason and Felix J. Herrmann





Motivation



Conventional marine acquisition

- wide-azimuth data
- expensive
- subsampled source/receivers grid
- interpolation

Want more for less ...

- shorter survey times - increased spatial sampling



Motivation

Rethink marine acquisition

- sources (and receivers) at random locations
- as long as you know the locations afterwards... it is fine!



How is this possible ?



SLIM marine acquisition - (multi-vessel, multi-airgun) acquisition w/ jittered sampling - "blending" via compressed randomized inter-shot firing times

Mansour et. al., 2012 ; Wason et. al., 2013



Rank minimization source-separation, 50m grid to 25m grid,14.5 dB



Monday, December 8, 14

5





Rank minimization difference, 50m grid to 25m grid



Monday, December 8, 14

6









Time-jittered acquisition

regularly sampled spatial grid



continuous recording START



OBC / OBN



continuous recording *STOP*



Observed data



Expectations



Conventional acquisition time samples (#)



Mansour et. al., 2012 ; Wason et. al., 2013

Source separation & Interpolation

Signal structure
Sparse/compressible

Sampling scheme
sampling make signal leg

Sparsity-promoting recovery using ℓ_1 constraints

- sampling make signal less sparse in transform domain



Impediments (3'S)

Speed

- slow and expensive

 Storage - redundant transform

- Scale-up
 - challenging for large scale seismic data



Candes and Plan 2010

Matrix Completion

- Signal structure
 - Low rank/fast decay of singular values
- Sampling scheme
 - sampling data increase rank in a "transform domain"
- Recovery using rank penalization scheme



Low-rank structure sequential source acquisition

acquisition domain

[source-receiver]



13



transform domain



Singular value decay sequential source acquisition





Matrix Completion

- Signal structure
 - Low rank/fast decay of singular values
- Sampling scheme
 - sampling data increase rank in a "transform domain"
- Recovery using rank penalization scheme



Low-rank structure Adjoint

acquisition domain

[source-receiver]



16



transform domain



Singular value decay Adjoint







Matrix Completion

- Signal structure
 - Low rank/fast decay of singular values
- Sampling scheme
 - sampling data increase rank in a "transform domain"

Recovery using rank penalization scheme



Low-rank v/s Sparsity





 $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n_f \times n_m \times n_h}$



Nuclear-norm minimization

• Given a set of measurements b, aim is to solve

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{X}_f} \quad \sum_f \|\mathbf{X}_f\|_* \quad \text{s.t.} \ \|\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{X}_f) - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \leq \sigma \\ \text{where} \quad \|\mathbf{X}_f\|_* = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = \|\lambda\|_1 \end{split}$$

 $\bullet \mathcal{A}$ is the transform-sampling operator defined as

 $\mathcal{A}(.) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{F}^H \mathcal{S}^H$

M time-jittered operator \mathbf{F}^{H} inverse Fourier transform along frequency axis \mathcal{S}^{H} transform operator

$$^{H}(.)$$



Transform-sampling operator



21

 $\mathcal{A}(.) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{F}^H \mathcal{S}^H(.)$ 1700 .528 \mathcal{S}^{H} \bigcirc \leftarrow (hz) 20 Freq 30 shot m 40 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 0 500 0 Receiver (m)



Transform-sampling operator



22

 $\mathcal{A}(.) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{F}^H \mathcal{S}^H(.)$ 1700 005 \bigcirc \mathbf{F}^{H} \bigcirc \bigcirc $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. 0 Times 0.4 . 0 shot m 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000` 500 0 Receiver (m)



Transform-sampling operator



23

 $\mathcal{A}(.) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{F}^H \mathcal{S}^H(.)$ 50 55 - $\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{S} \end{array} \right)$ 60 time 65 -Recording 7075 -500 1000 1500 Receiver (m)



Rennie and Srebro 2005, Lee et. al. 2010, Recht and Re 2011 **Factorized formulation**





Berg and Friedlander 2008, Aravkin et al. 2012b **Factorized formulation**

• Reformulate $BPDN_{\sigma}$ formulation

$$\min_{\mathbf{L}_f, \mathbf{R}_f} \quad \sum_{f} \|\mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{R}_f^H\|_* \quad s$$

• Approximately solve a series of $LASSO_{\tau}$ formulation

$$v(\tau) = \min_{\mathbf{L}_f, \mathbf{R}_f} || \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{R}_f^H) - \mathbf{L}_f(\mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{R}_f^H)|$$

where au is a rank regularization parameter

s.t. $||\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{R}_f^H) - \mathbf{b}||_2^2 \leq \sigma$







Nuclear norm satisfies

$$\sum_{f} \|\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{R}_{f}^{H}\|_{*} \leq \sum_{f} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{f} \\ \mathbf{R}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{F}^{2} \quad \text{[Rennie and Srebro 2005]}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_F^2$ is sum of squares of all entries

• Choose rank k explicitly & avoid costly SVD's



Experiments & Results

27



Time-jittered OBC acquisition



MEASUREMENTS (b)





Sparsity-promoting recovery SNR = 11.3dB







Sparsity-promoting recovery difference







Rank minimization SNR = 12.6 dB



31





Rank minimization difference



32



SL



Curvelet v/s Rank

difference, shallow section



33





Curvelet v/s Rank difference, deeper section



34



SL



Summary

	jittered to regular (m)	C [S
1 source vessel (2 airgun arrays)	50 to 25	
	50 to 12.5	







• speed up by a factor of ~ 8

	jittered to regular (m)	C [†i
1 source vessel (2 airgun arrays)	50 to 25	
	50 to 12.5	







• storage reduction by a factor of ~ 23 (for each copy of unknown)

	Curvelet coeffi [storage (gb
1 source vessel (2 airgun arrays)	2





Conclusion

- Simple algorithm
- Fast, Scalable and Memory efficient
- Easily extended to 3D marine acquisition



Future work

- Testing on more realistic 3D data sets
- Irregular grid
- Extension to time-lapse acquisition
- Software release





Rank minimization **BG model**



Time-lapse signal

SNR = 16.1 dB, 100 % Overlap, Joint model, 10x scale, 50 m to 12.5m grid







Acknowledgements



This work was in part financially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant (22R81254) and the Collaborative Research and Development Grant DNOISE II (375142-08). This research was carried out as part of the SINBAD II project with support from the following organizations: BG Group, BGP, CGG, Chevron, ConocoPhillips, ION, Petrobras, PGS, Statoil, Total SA, Sub Salt Solutions, WesternGeco, and Woodside.

Thank you!

