Released to public domain under Creative Commons license type BY (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). Copyright (c) 2018 SINBAD consortium - SLIM group @ The University of British Columbia.

Parameter-selection strategy for density in frequency-domain elastic waveform inversion Dong-Joo Min*, Woodon Jeong, and Felix J. Herrmann (Seoul National University)



Tuesday, 6 December, 11

SLIM 🐣

Motivation

 Needs for elastic full waveform inversion (FWI)

multicomponent data are commonly acquired

more reliable subsurface information is needed

Motivation

- Limitations of elastic FWI
 - more parameters than acoustic FWI
 - --> (velocities and density
 - or Lame constants and density)
 - easily stuck in *local* minima
 - --> it is assumed that Poisson's ratio and density are constant (Brossier et al. 2009; Brossier et al. 2010; Bae et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2010).

Motivation

- Density
 - for acoustic or elastic impedance (Connolly, 1999), density is needed
 - in *conventional* elastic FWI, velocities are *properly* restored, but *density* is *very* difficult to recover

(Forgues and Lambare, 1997, Choi et al., 2008, Virieux and Operto, 2009).

Objective

- Develop an inversion strategy for density
 - Tarantola (1986) proposed a parameterselection strategy based on *sensitivity* analysis.
 - propose a new parameter-selection strategy
 - --> inversion is performed over two stages
 - --> velocities and density are recovered sequentially

Contents

- FWI algorithm
- Conventional FWI & examples
- Parameter-selection strategy & examples
- Conclusions

Contents

- FWI algorithm
- Conventional FWI & examples
- Parameter-selection strategy & examples
- Conclusions



SLIM 🛃



Forward modeling scheme

SLIM 🛃

- finite-element method with Galerkin's method
- PML boundary condition (Cohen, 2002)
- consider all kinds of waves including Rayleigh waves





Forward modeling scheme

SLIM 🐣

- meters
 - finite-element method with Galerkin's method
 - PML boundary condition
 - consider all kinds of waves including Rayleigh waves



Objective function $\frac{\min \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum \left\| \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_s(\mathbf{p}) - \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_s \right\|^2$ Gradient $\frac{\partial E}{\partial p_k} = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{s} \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_s}{\partial p_k} \right)^T \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_s - \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_s \right)^* \right]$ $S\tilde{u}_{s} = f_{s}$ $\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_s}{\partial p_k} = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \left(-\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial p_k} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_s \right) = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{f}_{s,k}^v \right)$ $\therefore \frac{\partial E}{\partial p_{t}} = \sum \sum \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\mathbf{f}_{s,k}^{v} \right)^{T} \left(\mathbf{S}^{-1} \right)^{T} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{s} - \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{s} \right)^{*} \right]$

SLIM 🛃



SLIM 🛃

SLIM 🐣

FWI algorithm



Approximate-Hessian matrix $\mathbf{H}_{a} = \sum \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{s}}{\partial \mathbf{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{s}}{\partial \mathbf{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ $=\sum \left(\mathbf{F}_{s}^{\nu}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(\mathbf{F}_{s}^{\nu}\right)^{*}$ **Pseudo-Hessian matrix** $\mathbf{H}_{p} = \sum \left(\mathbf{F}_{s}^{\nu} \right)^{T} \left(\mathbf{F}_{s}^{\nu} \right)^{*}$ For scaling $\nabla E = \sum_{\omega} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{s} \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\mathbf{F}^{\nu} \right)^{T} \left(\mathbf{S}^{-1} \right)^{T} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \right)^{*} \right]}{\sum_{s} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \left(\mathbf{F}^{\nu} \right)^{T} \left(\mathbf{F}^{\nu} \right)^{*} + \phi \mathbf{I} \right\}} \right\}$



Conjugate gradient method

SLIM 🛃

 $\beta^{l+1} = \frac{\left(\nabla_{l+1}E\right)^{T}\left(\nabla_{l+1}E\right)}{\left(\nabla_{l}E\right)^{T}\left(\nabla_{l}E\right)}$

$$d^{l+1} = -\nabla_{l+1}E + \beta^{l+1}d^{l}$$

$$p^{l+1} = p^{l} + \alpha d^{l+1}$$

Fletcher and Reeves (1964)

SLIM 🐣

FWI algorithm



Source wavelet estimation

L2-norm objective function and the full Hessian matrix

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{W}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega} \sum_{s} \left\| \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{s} \boldsymbol{w} - \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{s} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$w = \frac{\sum_{\omega} \sum_{s} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{s}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{s}}{\sum_{\omega} \sum_{s} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{s}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{s}}$$

Contents

- FWI algorithm
- Conventional FWI & examples
- Parameter-selection strategy & examples
- Conclusions

Conventional FWI

Conventional Method I

elastic wave equations parameterized by Lame constants and density:

$$-\rho\omega^{2}\tilde{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left((\lambda + 2\mu)\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \lambda\frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mu \left(\frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial z} \right) \right)$$
$$-\rho\omega^{2}\tilde{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mu \left(\frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial z} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\lambda\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial x} + (\lambda + 2\mu)\frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial z} \right)$$

virtual sources for each parameters:

$$\left(\mathbf{f}^{\nu}\right)_{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \qquad \left(\mathbf{f}^{\nu}\right)_{\mu} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mu} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \qquad \left(\mathbf{f}^{\nu}\right)_{\rho} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

Conventional FWI

Conventional Method II

elastic wave equations parameterized by velocities and density:

$$-\rho\omega^{2}\tilde{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho\alpha^{2} \frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \left(\rho\alpha^{2} - 2\rho\beta \right) \frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho\beta^{2} \left(\frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial z} \right) \right)$$
$$-\rho\omega^{2}\tilde{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho\beta^{2} \left(\frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial z} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\left(\rho\alpha^{2} - 2\rho\beta^{2} \right) \frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \rho\alpha^{2} \frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial z} \right)$$

 virtual sources for each parameters: assumption: parameters are independent of each other

$$\left(\mathbf{f}^{\nu}\right)_{\alpha} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \alpha} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \qquad \left(\mathbf{f}^{\nu}\right)_{\beta} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \beta} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \qquad \left(\mathbf{f}^{\nu}\right)_{\rho} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

SLIM 🐣

Conventional FWI

Conventional Method II

 virtual sources using the chain rule (Mora, 1987) assumption: velocities are dependent on density

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha} = -\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \alpha} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = -\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} 2\rho\alpha \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}_{\beta} = -\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \beta} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = -\left[-\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} 4\rho\beta + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mu} 2\rho\beta \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}_{\rho} = -\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = -\left[-\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} \left(\alpha^{2} - 2\beta^{2}\right) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mu} \beta^{2} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

Elastic Marmousi-2 model

True model





Parameters	Values
dimension	9.2 km x 3.04 km
no. of source	219
source interval	0.04 km
no. of receiver	461
receiver interval	0.02 km
recording time	5 s
frequency range	0.2 - 10 Hz

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

(km/s)

Elastic Marmousi-2 model

Initial model



SLIM 🛃

Inversion results - Conventional methods I



SLIM 🛃

Depth profiles - Conventional methods I



SLIM 🛃

Density profiles - Conventional methods I



SLIM 🛃

Inversion results - Conventional methods II



SLIM 🛃

Depth profiles - Conventional methods II



SLIM 🛃

Density profiles - Conventional methods II



SLIM 🛃

Density results in the second stage



The initial guess for density is a gradually increasing model.



Density profiles in the second stage



Contents

- FWI algorithm
- Conventional FWI & examples
- Parameter-selection strategy & examples
- Conclusions

New strategy for density

Parameter-selection strategy

- consider that velocities are properly recovered but density is distorted in the conventional inversion results.
- inversion is performed over two stages.
 - --> first stage: velocities are described
 - --> second stage: Lame constants and density are inverted

New strategy for density

Parameter-selection strategy

- First stage
 - --> inversion is conducted for Lame constants with density fixed based on the wave equations parameterized by Lame constants and density
 - --> wrong Lame constants and density
 - --> but reliable velocities can be extracted from wrong information

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_v + 2\mu_v}{\rho_c}} \qquad \beta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_v}{\rho_c}} \qquad \text{V: virtual, C: constant}$$

Virtual sources

$$\left(\mathbf{f}^{v}\right)_{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \qquad \left(\mathbf{f}^{v}\right)_{\mu} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mu} \tilde{\mathbf{u}},$$

New strategy for density

Parameter-selection strategy

- Second stage
 - --> velocities obtained in the first stage are used as initial guesses
 - --> for initial guess for density, a linearly increasing model is used
 - --> both Lame constants and density are inverted based on the wave equation parameterized by velocities and density
- Virtual sources (using the chain rule reversed to Mora (1987))

$$\left(\mathbf{f}^{v}\right)_{\lambda} = -\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \alpha}\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \beta}\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \lambda}\right)\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \qquad \left(\mathbf{f}^{v}\right)_{\rho} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$
$$\left(\mathbf{f}^{v}\right)_{\mu} = -\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \alpha}\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \beta}\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \rho}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}\right)\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

New inversion results

Velocities inverted in the first stage



The density is fixed as 2 g/cm³

Velocity profiles in the first stage



Inversion results in the second stage



Depth profiles in the second stage



Density profiles in the second stage



SEG/EAGE salt model

True model



SEG/EAGE salt model

Initial model







Laplace-domain inversion results (Chung et al. 2010)

Conventional inversion results

Inversion results - Conventional method I



Conventional inversion results

Depth profiles - Conventional method I



New inversion results

Inverted velocity models - In the first stage



The density is fixed as 2 g/cm³

Inversion results - In the second stage



Density profiles - Conventional method I & new method



Contents

- FWI algorithm
- Conventional FWI & examples
- Parameter-selection strategy & examples
- Conclusions

Conclusions

- Parameter selection strategy
 - in the first stage, invert Lame constants with density fixed as a constant
 - in the second stage, invert all parameters simultaneously
- Numerical examples show that
 - the new inversion strategy gives more reliable density models

Conclusions

- To enhance the accuracy of inverted density model
 - accurate velocity models are necessary, which are obtained with density fixed in the first stage of the new strategy

SLIM 🔮

Future plans

- Collaboration with SLIM group
 - combine elastic waveform inversion for isotropic and VTI media with the Curvelet transform, simultaneous sources inversion, stochastic inversion, etc.
 - develop inversion techniques to properly recover salt models.

References

Bae, H. S., C. Shin, Y. H. Cha, Y. Choi and D. J. Min, 2010, 2D acoustic-elastic coupled waveform inversion in the laplace domain, Geophysical Prospecting, 58, 997-1010.

Brossier, R., S. Operto, and J. Virieux, 2009, Seismic imaging of complex onshore structures by 2D elastic frequency-domain full-waveform inversion, Geophysics, 74, WCC105- WCC118.

Brossier, R., S. Operto, and J. Virieux, 2010, Which residual norm for robust elastic frequency-domain full waveform inversion?, Geophysics, 75, R37-R46.

Connolly, P., 1999, Elastic impedance, The Leading Edge, 18, 438-452.

Choi, Y., D. J. Min, and C. Shin, 2008, Frequency-domain elastic full waveform inversion using the new pseudo-Hessian matrix: Experience of elastic Marmousi-2 synthetic data, BSSA, 98, 2402-2415.

Chung, W., C. Shin, and S. Pyun, 2010, 2D elastic waveform inversion in the laplace domain, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 100, 3239-3249.

References

Fletcher, R., C. M. Reeves, 1964, Function minimization by conjugate gradients, Computer Journal, 7, 149-154. SLIM

Forgues E. and Lambare, G., 1997, Parameterization study for acoustic and elastic ray+Born inversion, J. Seis. Expl. 6, 253-277.

Lee, H. Y., J. M. Koo, D. J. Min, B. D. Kwon and H. S. Yoo, 2010, Frequencydomain elastic full waveform inversion for VTI media, Geophys. J. Int., 183, 884-904.

Mora, P., 1987, Nonlinear two-dimensional elastic inversion of multioffset seismic data, Geophysics, 52, 1211-1228.

Virieux, J., and S. Operto, 2009, An overview of full-waveform inversion in exploration geophysics, Geophysics, 74, WCC1-WCC26.