Released to public domain under Creative Commons license type BY (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). Copyright (c) 2018 SINBAD consortium - SLIM group @ The University of British Columbia.

Phase-space matched filtering & migration preconditioning

Felix J. Herrmann joint work with Chris Stolk, Cody Brown and Peyman Moghaddam slim.eos.ubc.ca Vancouver, February 20-21



Motivation

Migration does not recover the amplitudes. Least-squares migration is computationally unfeasible. Lacks robustness w.r.t. noise.

Existing scaling methods

- do not always correct for the order (1 2D) of the Hessian (see also Symes '07)
- assume that there are no conflicting dips (conormal)
- do not invert the scaling robustly

Our approach exploits

- invariance of curvelets under the Hessian
- the smoothness of the symbol of the Hessian
- curvelet-domain sparsity



Existing scaling methods

Methods are based on a diagonal approximation of $\Psi.$

- Illumination-based normalization (Rickett '02)
- Amplitude preserved migration (Plessix & Mulder '04)
- Amplitude corrections (Guitton '04)
- Amplitude scaling (Symes `07)

We are interested in an 'Operator and image adaptive' scaling method which

- $\hfill\blacksquare$ estimates the action of Ψ from a reference vector close to the actual image
- ${\hfill}$ assumes a smooth symbol of Ψ in space and angle
- does not require the reflectors to be conormal <=> allows for conflicting dips
- stably inverts the diagonal



Seismic imaging problem

Forward problem

$$F[c]u := \left(\frac{1}{c^2(x)} \cdot \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}\right) \mathbf{u}(x,t) = f(x,t)$$

second order hyperbolic PDE interested in the singularities of

$$m = c - \bar{c}$$



Inverse problem

Minimization:

$$\widetilde{m} = \arg\min_{m} \|d - F[m]\|_2^2$$

After linearization (Born app.) forward model with noise:

$$d(x_{s}, x_{r}, t) = (Km)(x_{s}, x_{r}, t) + n(x_{s}, x_{r}, t)$$

Conventional imaging:

$$\begin{pmatrix} K^T d \end{pmatrix}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} K^T K m \end{pmatrix}(x) + \begin{pmatrix} K^T n \end{pmatrix}(x) y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi m \end{pmatrix}(x) + e(x)$$

Ψ is prohibitively expensive to invert!



Normal operator

[Stolk 2002, ten Kroode 1997, de Hoop 2000, 2003]

Alternative to expensive least-squares migration.

In high-frequency limit Ψ is a PsDO

$$(\Psi f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} a(x,\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

- pseudolocal
- singularities are preserved
- High-frequency argument

Corresponds to a spatially-varying dip filter after appropriate preconditioning.



Our approach

Formulate as a sparsity- and continuity promoting optimization problem

$\mathbf{P}: \qquad \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \min_{\mathbf{X}} J(\mathbf{x}) & \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le \epsilon \\ \tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \left(\mathbf{A}^T\right)^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \end{cases}$

Based on a diagonal approximation

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{r} \simeq \mathbf{\Psi}\mathbf{r}$$
 with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{D}_{\Psi}^{1/2}$

with **r** the reference vector. Estimate $\mathbf{D}_{\Psi}^{1/2}$ using *smoothness* of the **symbol**.



Diagonal approximation of the Hessian

Existing scaling methods

Methods are based on a diagonal approximation of $\Psi.$

- Illumination-based normalization (Rickett '02)
- Amplitude preserved migration (Plessix & Mulder '04)
- Amplitude corrections (Guitton '04)
- Amplitude scaling (Symes `07)

We are interested in an 'Operator and image adaptive' scaling method which

- $\hfill\blacksquare$ estimates the action of Ψ from a reference vector close to the actual image
- assumes a smooth symbol of Ψ in space and angle
- does not require the reflectors to be conormal <=> allows for conflicting dips
- stably inversion of the diagonal



Math

"Precondition" the linearized (Born) modeling operator

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{m}$$

with

$$K \mapsto K(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \quad \text{or} \quad K \mapsto \partial_t^{-1/2} K$$
$$m \mapsto (-\Delta)^{1/2} m \quad \text{with} \quad ((-\Delta)^{\alpha} f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = |\xi|^{2\alpha} \cdot \hat{f}(\xi).$$

such that the normal equation is near unitary

$$y = K^T K m$$
$$= \Psi m$$

with $\Psi \approx Id$.



Math cont'd

In the high-frequency limit $\Psi = \Psi(x, D)$

- is a pseudodifferential operator of order 0
- has a homogeneous principal symbol $a(x,\xi)$
- acts as a nonstationary dip filter

Lemma 1 Suppose a is in the symbol class $S_{1,0}^0$, then, with C' some constant, the following holds

$$\|(\Psi(x,D) - a(x_{\nu},\xi_{\nu}))\varphi_{\nu}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C'2^{-|\nu|/2}.$$
(1)



Tiling the ξ space





Math cont'd

To approximate Ψ , define the sequence $\mathbf{u} := (u_{\mu})_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} = a(x_{\mu}, \xi_{\mu})$. Let \mathbf{D}_{Ψ} be the diagonal matrix with entries given by \mathbf{u} , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{D}_{\Psi} := \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}).$$

Bound for accuracy of the diagonal approximation

$$\Psi \simeq C^T \mathbf{D}_{\Psi} C.$$

Theorem 1 The following estimate for the error holds

$$\|(\Psi(x,D) - C^T \mathbf{D}_{\Psi} C)\varphi_{\mu}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C'' 2^{-|\mu|/2},$$

where C'' is a constant depending on Ψ .

accuracy improves for higher frequencies
 amenable for sparsity-promoting inversion



Math cont'd

Allows for an "eigenfunction like" decomposition

$$(\Psi \varphi_{\mu})(x) \simeq (C^T \mathbf{D}_{\Psi} C \varphi_{\mu})(x)$$
$$= (A A^T \varphi_{\mu})(x)$$

with $A := \sqrt{\mathbf{D}_{\Psi}}C$ and $A^T := C^T \sqrt{\mathbf{D}_{\Psi}}.$



Approximation normal operator

$y(x) = (\Psi m)(x) + e(x)$ $\simeq (AA^T m)(x) + e(x)$ $= Ax_0 + e,$

Wavelet-vagulette like

Amenable to nonlinear recovery

Remains to estimate

- scaling coefficients / matched filter coefficients
- use a reference image



Curvelet-domain matched filtering

Matched filtering

Adapt current scaling methodology to phase space. Exploit smoothness of the symbol valid for smooth velocity models.

Use a reference image sufficiently close to the actual reflectivity.

Generate 'data'

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{r}$$

with

 $\Psi = \mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{K}$

- \mathbf{K} = discretized linearized Born modeling operator
 - \mathbf{r} = reference vector



Original formulation matched filtering

Find 'positive-entry' scaling vector u such that

 $\mathbf{b} \approx \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{D}_{\Psi} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{r}$ with $\mathbf{D}_{\Psi} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})$

by solving the linear least-squares problem

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \eta^2 \|\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2$$

with

$$\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{C}^T \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{r})$$



Original formulation matched filtering

Impose *smoothness* in phase space

 $\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_1 & \mathbf{D}_2 & \mathbf{D}_\theta \end{bmatrix}$

Seismic Laboratory for Imaging and Modeling

Calculate: $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{\Psi}\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{r}$.

Set: $\eta = \eta_{min}$;

while $\exists (\tilde{u}_{\mu})_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} < 0$ do

Solve

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \eta^2 \|\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2$$

Increase the Lagrange multiplier

$$\lambda = \eta + \Delta \eta$$

end while

Observations

Computation of matched-filter coefficients expensive.

- no `real' positivity constraint while
- $\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{K}$ is a *positive definite* matrix

In addition, our approach does not accommodate

- precise phase-space smoothness
- flexibility to handle black-box implementations
- migration operator preconditioning
- *incomplete* data
- seismic source function



New formulation matched filtering

Find *positive-entry scaling* vector **u** such that

 $\mathbf{b} \approx \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{D}_{\Psi} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{r}$ with $\mathbf{D}_{\Psi} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})$

Translates into minimizing

$$J_{\gamma}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{F}_{\gamma} \exp(\mathbf{z})\|_{2}^{2}$$
 with $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})$

involving the following system of equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}^T \operatorname{diag} \{ \mathbf{Cr} \} \\ \gamma \mathbf{L} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{F}_{\gamma} \mathbf{w}$$

with the gradient

grad
$$J(\mathbf{z}) = \text{diag}\{e^{\mathbf{Z}}\} [\mathbf{F}^T (\mathbf{F}e^{\mathbf{Z}} - \mathbf{d})]$$



Matched filtering

Impose smoothness in phase space through

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_1^T & \mathbf{D}_2^T & \mathbf{D}_\theta^T \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Positivity of symbol is assured.





Example





Example



Example



Migrated Image

Enhanced Image

Matched filtering

new parameterization

Problems:

- computation of the matched-filter coefficients expensive (# of unknows = length of curvelet vector)
- Limited smoothness

Parameterize phase space (x, y, θ)

- introduce low-dimensional parameterization phase space
- use B-splines
- define the scaling vector in terms of a spline synthesis

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

with for each scale

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{B}_2 & \mathbf{B}_\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Matched filtering new parameterization

Find *positive-entry* scaling vector **u** such that

 $\mathbf{b} \approx \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{D}_{\Psi} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{r}$ with $\mathbf{D}_{\Psi} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})$

Minimize

$$J_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{F} \exp(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha})\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2}$$

with

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}^H \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{Cr}) \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \exp(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

assures positivity (Vogel '02)

smoothness depends on # of splines and regularization With the gradient (Vogel '02)

grad $J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \text{diag}\{\exp(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha})\}\left[\left(\mathbf{F}^{T}\mathbf{F} + \gamma\mathbf{I}\right)\exp(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{F}^{T}\mathbf{b}\right]$

Spline

Lambda=0 perc=1%

Spline

Lambda=0 perc=3%

Spline

Lambda=0 perc=30%

No spline

Lambda=0.01

No spline

Lambda=0.05

No spline

Lambda=0.07

Observations

Precise smoothness control

- # of nodes
- size of the regularization parameter

Dimensionality reduction should lead to faster convergence.

More work to study the performance.

Black-box utility

Approach relied on zero-order operator.

- known when operators are understood exactly
- corrected for in the "migration operator" wavelet

Introduce additional matched filter by `generating data'

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}^T\mathbf{d}$$

and minimize

$$J_{\eta}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{f} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{H} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{d}) \exp(2\mathbf{z})\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\eta \|\mathbf{L}\mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}$$

Redefine

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K} &\mapsto \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{H} \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{K} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}} = \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \\ \text{or equivalently replace the source function} \\ \boldsymbol{\phi} &\mapsto \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{H} \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{\phi} \end{split}$$

Migration preconditioning

Forward model:

 $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}$

Ideal right preconditioning

$$\mathbf{K} \mapsto \mathbf{K} ig(\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{K} ig)^{-1/2} \ \mathbf{m} \mapsto ig(\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{K} ig)^{1/2} \mathbf{m}$$

yielding

$$\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{I}$$

Migration preconditioning

Approximate with curvelet preconditioning. Define $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{K} \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{W}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{D}_{\Psi}^{rac{1}{2}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{m}$$

Such that

 $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \approx \mathbf{I}$

by virtue of

 $\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{K} \simeq \mathbf{C}^H \mathbf{D}_{\Psi} \mathbf{C}$

- calculate the diagonal approximation from reference vector and demigrated-migrated reference vector
- estimate the inverse square root directly

Migration preconditioning

Minimize

$$J_\gamma(\pmb{\alpha})=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{F}\exp(2\cdot\mathbf{B}\pmb{\alpha})\|_2^2+\frac{1}{2}\gamma\|\pmb{\alpha}\|_2^2$$
 with

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}^H \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{Cb})$$
 and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \exp(\mathbf{B\alpha})$

yielding

$$\mathbf{D}_{\psi}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$$

Seismic data recovery

Migration operator is expensive but the ultimate interpolator.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Solve} & \left\{ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{R} \mathbf{d} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= \min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq \epsilon \\ \mathbf{A} &:= \mathbf{R} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{C}^{T} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} &= \mathbf{K} \mathbf{C}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} &= \mathbf{K} \mathbf{C}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{C}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned} \right.$$

- recovery of data and image from incomplete data
- compression of the operator (e.g. subset of shots or temporal frequencies)
- migration will enhance the recovery
 - increased incoherence
 - additional focusing

Conclusions & future plans

Low-dimensional spline offers more control Formulation remains to be tested

- for migration-amplitude recovery
- primary-multiple separation

Extensions

will be reported on during next meeting

Migration based wavefield recovery seems natural but is not the only choice.

Acknowledgments

SLIM team: Gilles Hennenfent, Sean Ross Ross, Cody

Brown, Henryk Modzelewski for SLIMpy

Eric Verschuur, input in primary-multiple separation

Chris Stolk for his input in phase space regularization

E. J. Candès, L. Demanet, D. L. Donoho, and L. Ying for

CurveLab

S.Fomel, P.Sava, and other developers of Madagascar

This presentation was carried out as part of the SINBAD project with financial support, secured through ITF, from the following organizations: BG, BP, Chevron, ExxonMobil, and Shell. SINBAD is part of the collaborative research & development (CRD) grant number 334810-05 funded by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC).

