## Time-domain Wavefield Reconstruction Inversion for large-scale seismic inversion

Gabrio Rizzuti<sup>1</sup>, Mathias Louboutin<sup>2</sup>, Rongrong Wang<sup>3</sup>, and Felix J. Herrmann<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Georgia Institute of Technology, now Utrecht University

<sup>2</sup> Georgia Institute of Technology

<sup>3</sup> Michigan State University



SIAM GS 22/06/2021

Agenda

- Motivations: robustness and computation
- Theory: FWI vs WRI vs WRI\*
- Numerical experiments: acoustic, TTI, small 3D
- > Discussion and conclusions

#### **Full Waveform Inversion**

# $\min_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{FWI}(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{2} ||F(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{d}||^2, \quad F(\mathbf{m}) = RA(\mathbf{m})^{-1}$

[Tarantola, A., '84; Haber, E., et al, 2000; Epanomeritakis, I., et al, 2008]

#### ✓ 3D computations are affordable via large HPC systems (or cloud computing) [Witte et al., 2019]

X (Effectively) multimodal problem: it needs a good starting model!

### **Wavefield Reconstruction Inversion**

# $\min_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{\text{WRI}}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{m})) = \frac{1}{2} ||R\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{d}||^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{q}||^2$

SLIM 🔶

[van den Berg, P. M., and Kleinman, R. E., 1997; van Leeuwen, T. and Herrmann, F. J., 2013]

Better conditioning

# $\min_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{\text{WRI}}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{u})) \xrightarrow{1_{|| D=}} \mathbf{u}_{|| 2}} \frac{\lambda^2}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{q}||^2$ [van den Berg, P. M., and K $\begin{bmatrix} R \\ \lambda A(\mathbf{m}) \end{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \lambda \mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix}$ augmented wave equation augmented wave equation

#### Better conditioning

X Augmented solver: hard to scale to 3D (explicit time-marching schemes?)

Wavefield Reconstruction Inversion

#### **Prior art: extended-source formulation**

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{WRI}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) = \frac{1}{2} ||R\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{d}||^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{q}||^2$$

[van den Berg, P. M., and Kleinman, R. E., 1997; van Leeuwen, T. and Herrmann, F. J., 2013]

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{WRI-q}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{1}{2} ||F(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{d}||^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} ||\bar{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}||^2$$

[Wang et al, 2016, Huang et al, 2018]

**Prior art: augmented state approximation** 

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{WRI-q}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{1}{2} ||F(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{d}||^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} ||\bar{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}||^2$$

[Wang et al, 2016]

$$\begin{bmatrix} F(\mathbf{m}) \\ \lambda I \end{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \lambda \mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix} \implies \bar{\mathbf{q}} \approx \mathbf{q} + F(\mathbf{m})^{\mathrm{H}} (\mathbf{d} - F(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{q}) / \lambda^{2}$$

✓ (Approximated) augmented solver: scale to 3D

**Prior art: augmented state approximation** 

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{WRI-q}}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{1}{2} ||F(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{d}||^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} ||\bar{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}||^2$$

[Wang et al, 2016]

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{WRI-q} = \nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{J}_{WRI-q}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{q}}) + \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{q}}}{\partial \mathbf{m}}^{H} \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \mathcal{J}_{WRI-q}(\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{q}})$$

**×** Gradient computation inconsistency

#### **WRI\*: denoising reformulation**

$$\min_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{u}} \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{q}||^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad ||R\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{d}|| \le \varepsilon$$

[Wang, R., and Herrmann, F. J., 2017]

#### **WRI\*: Lagrangian**

$$\min_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{u}} \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{q}||^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad ||R\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{d}|| \le \varepsilon$$

[Wang, R., and Herrmann, F. J., 2017]

$$\max_{\mathbf{y}} \min_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{u}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{q}||^2 + \mathbf{y} \cdot (R\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{d}) - \varepsilon ||\mathbf{y}||$$

10

#### **WRI\*: Lagrangian**

$$\min_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{u}} \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{q}||^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad ||R\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{d}|| \le \varepsilon$$

[Wang, R., and Herrmann, F. J., 2017]

$$\max_{\mathbf{y}} \min_{\mathbf{m}} \bar{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{\bar{u}} - \mathbf{q}||^2 + \mathbf{y} \cdot (R\mathbf{\bar{u}} - \mathbf{d}) - \varepsilon ||\mathbf{y}||$$
$$A(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{\bar{u}} = \mathbf{q} + F(\mathbf{m})^H \mathbf{y}$$

11

#### WRI\*: augmented state approximation

$$\min_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{u}} \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{q}||^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad ||R\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{d}|| \le \varepsilon$$

[Wang, R., and Herrmann, F. J., 2017]

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{m}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{m}) &= \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{q}||^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{y}} \cdot (R\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{d}) - \varepsilon ||\tilde{\mathbf{y}}|| \\ & \tilde{\mathbf{y}} \propto F(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{d} \\ & \text{can be differentiated through...} \end{split}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{2} ||A(\mathbf{m})\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{q}||^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{y}} \cdot (R\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{d}) - \varepsilon ||\tilde{\mathbf{y}}||$$

Requires standard wave equation solver: scale to 3D
 No gradient computation inconsistency
 Retains WRI robustness
 Roughly equivalent to 2x the computational cost of FWI
 Roughly equivalent to 2x the computational cost of FWI
 Model resolution generally inferior to WRI

#### Numerical examples: transmission



#### Numerical examples: transmission



#### Numerical examples: transmission



#### Marmousi: velocity model (m/s) z (m) Aelocity 2200 South 2000 -3000 -10000 12000 14000 *x* (m)



Marmousi: background velocity model











#### Marmousi: WRI\*+FWI inversion

#### Numerical examples: BG Compass (acoustic)



#### Numerical examples: BG Compass (acoustic)



#### Numerical examples: BG Compass (acoustic)



### Numerical examples: BG Compass (TTI)





#### Numerical examples: BG Compass (TTI, CIGs)



#### **Numerical examples: small 3D**



#### **Discussion**

- WRI\*:
  - affordable version of WRI
  - retains robustness of WRI
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> order methods/hybrid schemes to improve resolution
- Source-focusing annihilator might be necessary to avoid local minima [Symes, W. W., 2020]



• Better approximation for augmented variable? Need for automatic differentiation! [Ablin et al., 2020]

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\tilde{\bar{\mathcal{L}}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{m}}\bar{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{\partial\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial\mathbf{m}}^{H}\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\bar{\mathcal{L}}$$

 Some special choice for covariance of data/model error avoid the need for augmented variable approximation [van Leeuwen, T., 2019]

SLIM 🕂

#### **Open source implementation**



>github.com/slimgroup/JUDI.jl
>devitoproject.org

#### References

- Ablin, P., Peyré, G., and Moreau, T., 2020, "Super-efficiency of automatic differentiation for functions defined as a minimum"
- Biros, G., and Ghattas, O., Parallel Lagrange-Newton-Krylov-Schur methods for PDE-constrained optimization. Part i: The Krylov-Schur solver, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 27 (2005)
- Epanomeritakis, I., and Akcelik, V., and Ghattas, O., and Bielak, J., A Newton-CG method for large-scale three-dimensional elastic full-waveform seismic inversion, Inverse Problems, 24(3) (2008)
- Grote, M. J., and Huber, J., and Schenk, O., Interior point methods for the inverse medium problem on massively parallel architectures, Procedia Computer Science, 4 (2011)
- Haber, E., and Ascher, U. M., and Oldenburg, D., On optimization techniques for solving nonlinear inverse problems, Inverse Problems, 16 (2000)
- Haber, E., and Ascher, U. M., Preconditioned all-at-once methods for large, sparse parameter estimation problems, Inverse Problems, 17 (2001)
- Huang, G., and Nammour, R., and Symes, W. W., Volume source-based extended waveform inversion, Geophysics (2018)
- Kleinman, R. E., and van den Berg, P. M., A modified gradient method for two-dimensional problems in tomography, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 42 (1992)
- van Leeuwen, T., and Herrmann, F. J., Mitigating local minima in full-waveform inversion by expanding the search space, Geophysical Journal International 195.1 (2013)
- van Leeuwen, T., A note on extended full waveform inversion, Geophysical Journal International (2019)
- Louboutin, M., Lange, M., Luporini, F., Kukreja, N., Witte, P. A., Herrmann, F. J., Velesko, P. and Gorman, G. J., Devito: an embedded domain-specific language for finite differences and geophysical exploration, CoRR, abs/1808.01995, , arXiv (2018)
- Luporini, F., Lange, M., Louboutin, M., Kukreja, N., Hückelheim, J., Yount, C., Witte, P., Kelly, P. H. J., Gorman, G. J. and Herrmann, F. J., Architecture and performance of Devito, a system for automated stencil computation, CoRR, abs/1807.03032, 2018, arXiv (2018)
- Peters, B., and Herrmann, F. J., and van Leeuwen, T., Wave-equation Based Inversion with the Penalty Method-Adjoint-state Versus Wavefield-Reconstruction Inversion, 76th EAGE Conference (2014)
- Sharan, S., and Wang, R., and Herrmann, F. J., "Fast sparsity-promoting microseismic source estimation", Geophysical Journal International (2019)
- Symes, W. W., 2020, "Full Waveform Inversion by Source Extension: Why it works"
- Symes, W. W., 2020, "Wavefield Reconstruction Inversion: an example"
- Van Leeuwen, T., 2019, "A note on extended full waveform inversion"
- Tarantola, A., Inversion of seismic reflection data in the acoustic approximation, Geophysics 49(8) (1984)
- Wang, C., and Yingst, D., and Farmer, P., and Leveille, J., Full Waveform Inversion with the Reconstructed Wavefield Method, 86th EAGE Conference (2016)
- Wang, R., and Herrmann, F. J., A denoising formulation of Full-Waveform Inversion, 87th SEG International Exposition (2017)
- Witte, P. A. and Louboutin, M. and Modzelewski, H. and Jones, C. and Selvage, J. and Herrmann, F. J., 2020, An Event-Driven Approach to Serverless Seismic Imaging in the Cloud: IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 9
- Witte, P. A., M. Louboutin, N. Kukreja, F. Luporini, M. Lange, G. J. Gorman, and F. J. Herrmann, 2019, A large-scale framework for symbolic implementations of seismic inversion algorithms in Julia: Geophysics, 84, 1–60 (2019)