Released to public domain under Creative Commons license type BY (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0).

Copyright (c) 2011 SLIM group @ The University of British Columbia.


Probing the extended image volume for seismic velocity inversion

Tristan van Leeuwen, Felix J. Herrmann






recover subsurface medium parameters from surface data





- highly non-linear, local minima
- ~10⁹ unknowns
- ~10¹² data samples
- •





Overview

- Full waveform inversion
- Imaging & velocity inversion
- Extended images
- Future work
- Conclusions

Full waveform inversion

Large scale non-linear LS problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} ||\mathcal{F}_i[\mathbf{m}] - \mathbf{d}_i||_2^2 = \min_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}] - \mathbf{d}||_2^2$$


$$\mathcal{F}_i[\mathbf{m}] = P_i H_i[\mathbf{m}]^{-1} \mathbf{q}_i$$

 $H_i[\mathbf{m}] = \omega_i^2 \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{m}) + \nabla^2$



Full waveform inversion

- Local minima
- Need very good initial guess





Full waveform inversion

Traditional approach based on separation of scales:

find \mathbf{m} , $\delta \mathbf{m}$ s.t. $\mathcal{F}_i[\mathbf{m}] + \nabla \mathcal{F}_i[\mathbf{m}] \delta \mathbf{m} \simeq \mathbf{d}_i$

where ${\bf m}$ is smooth and $\delta {\bf m}$ is oscillatory

Imaging:

$$\delta \mathbf{m} \approx (\nabla \mathcal{F}^* \nabla \mathcal{F})^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}]^* \underbrace{(\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}] - \mathbf{d})}_{\delta \mathbf{d}}$$

 $\nabla \mathcal{F}$ is a GRT, Normal operator is diagonal in phase space.

Velocity inversion:

find \mathbf{m} s.t $\delta \mathbf{d} \in \mathsf{range}(\nabla \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}])$

Projection onto the range:


$$\Pi = \nabla \mathcal{F} (\nabla \mathcal{F}^* \nabla \mathcal{F})^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{F}^*$$

leads to 'MBTT'

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} ||(\Pi[\mathbf{m}] - I)\delta \mathbf{d}||_2^2$$



range changes rapidly when model is perturbed






Suppose we have $\nabla \mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\nabla \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{B}$ where $\widetilde{\nabla \mathcal{F}}$ has 'full range'.

Then we need to detect whether $\widetilde{\nabla \mathcal{F}}^* \delta \mathbf{d}$ is in the range of \mathcal{B}



"As I go forward, you go backward and somewhere we will meet" -Thom York, Radiohead.



Extended image:


$$E[\mathbf{m}] = \sum_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}^{*}$$

For the 'correct' m

$$E[\mathbf{m}] \simeq \mathsf{diag}(\delta \mathbf{m})$$


This defines $\widetilde{\nabla \mathcal{F}}$ and \mathcal{B}







Slice through extended image




low

high



Images for 10 simultaneous sources (less computation)



low

high

Misfit criterion: weighted norm

$$||W \odot E||_?$$

minimize off-diagonal energy:


$$w_{ij} \propto (i-j)$$
 [Stolk '04; Shen '08]

maximize near-diagonal energy:

$$w_{ij} \propto e^{-\alpha(i-j)^2}$$
 [TVL '08]



focussing power for small, medium and large scale





Computation of the image.

Organize quantities as

$$U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$$

then: $E = VU^*$

matvec's via PDE solve:

$$E\mathbf{x} = VU^*\mathbf{x} = H^{-1}(R\mathbf{x})$$

Introduce 'extended' wave-

equation:
$$\left[\omega^2 M + \nabla^2\right] \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{q}$$

Inverse problem:

$$\min_{M} \rho(M)$$
 s.t. $||\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}[M] - \mathbf{d}||_2 \le \sigma$




For layered models, M can be taken to be 'Toeplitz'.

$$\left[\omega^2 \operatorname{diag}(\hat{\mathbf{m}}) + \partial_z^2 - k_x^2\right] \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{q}}$$

The model is now a function of depth and horizontal wavenumber






solve:

$$\min_{\widehat{\mathbf{m}}} ||\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}[\mathbf{m}_0 + \widehat{\mathbf{m}}] - \mathbf{d}||_2^2$$

for various reference models




reconstructed perturbations





penalize variation in \mathbf{k}_x





Conclusions

- Extended modelling concept useful for solving the seismic inverse problem
- Efficient calculation of `image volumes'
- Can be incorporated in nonlinear FWI formulation



Future work

- How to parametrize M and guarantee positivity
- How to best exploit `factored' form of updates
- How to define and compute penalty using only matvec's