Released to public domain under Creative Commons license type BY (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). Copyright (c) 2017 SLIM group @ The University of British Columbia.

# Extending the search space of time-domain adjoint-state FWI w/ randomized implicit time shifts

Mathias Louboutin EAGE Paris 2017



### Motivations

### Sensitivity to cycle skipping

#### Memory cost

....

• storing time history of the wavefield

#### Computationally expensive

- checkpointing
- random boundaries
- wavelet compression



Rajiv Kumar, Curt Da Silva, Oscar Lopez, Aleksandr Y. Aravkin, Hassan Mansour, Haneet Wason, Ernie Esser, and Felix J. Herrmann, "Rank minimization based seismic data processing and inversion" Bas Peters and Felix J. Herrmann," A quadratic-penalty full-space method for waveform inversion"

### Motivations

### Global methods have shown good results

- low-rank extension
- full-space

New way to extend the research space for time-domain.



An Adaptive Gradient Sampling Algorithm for Nonsmooth Optimization, Frank E. Curtis and Xiaocun Que, 2015

### **Gradient Sampling Algorithm**

#### **Designed for Non-Smooth Non-Convex problems:**

- global method
- use information from many "nearby" models
- simple & computationally cheap implementation



#### Current model **m m** is the square slowness





1- Define a ball around current point **m** 







## 1- Define a ball around current point m2- Take *p* sample inside the ball





- 1- Define a ball around current point **m**
- 2-Take *p* sample inside the ball
- 3 Compute direction for each sample



Gradient sampling direction



- 1- Define a ball around current point **m**
- 2-Take *p* sample inside the ball
- 3 Compute direction for each sample
- 4 Take weighted sum of the direction



Gradient sampling direction



- 1- Define a ball around current point **m**
- 2-Take *p* sample inside the ball
- 3 Compute direction for each sample
- 4 Take weighted sum of the direction
- 5 Update in this direction





- 1- Define a ball around current point **m**
- 2-Take *p* sample inside the ball
- 3 Compute direction for each sample
- 4 Take weighted sum of the direction
- 5 Update in this direction
- 6 Back to step 1





### Summary

#### Update direction

- use information from "nearby" samples
- global direction instead of local
- proven to be robust for non-convex problems





### Shortcomings

#### Needs to compute *p* gradients independently

- at each iteration
- *p* times more expensive than FWI



### Shortcomings

#### Needs to compute p gradients independently

- at each iterations
- for every iterations
- thousand times more expensive than FWI

Redefine the neighborhood...



### Small velocity changes correspond to a time delay





#### Constant velocity model example

# $\mathbf{u}[t+ au]$ wavefield at t for a faster velocity $\mathbf{u}[t- au]$ wavefield at t for a slower velocity



### Local update direction

#### Update direction for model nis

$$\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{m}) = -\sum_{t=0}^{n_t} \left[ \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}[t]) \right]$$

where

- U is the source wavefield for model m
- is the receiver wavefield for model **m**  $\mathbf{V}$
- $\Phi(\mathbf{m})$ is the FWI objective for model  $\,{f m}$

## $(\mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{v}[t])$



### Neighbors update direction

Update direction for model  $m + \delta m$  (slower)  $n_t$  $\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{m} + \delta \mathbf{m}) = -\sum \left[ \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}[t - \tau])(\mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{v}[t]) \right]$ t=0

where

- U is the source wavefield for model m
- is the receiver wavefield for model  $\mathbf{V}$  $\mathbf{m}$

 $\Phi(\mathbf{m}+\delta\mathbf{m})$  is the FWI objective for model  $\mathbf{m}+\delta\mathbf{m}$ 



### Neighbors update direction

Update direction for model  $m - \delta m$  (faster)  $n_t$  $\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{m} - \delta \mathbf{m}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{T} \left[ \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}[t + \tau])(\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{v}[t]) \right]$ t=0

where

- U is the source wavefield for model m
- is the receiver wavefield for model  $\mathbf{V}$ m

 $\Phi(\mathbf{m}-\delta\mathbf{m})$  is the FWI objective for model  $\mathbf{m}-\delta\mathbf{m}$ 



### Weighted sum of the gradients

#### Gradient sampling direction becomes

$$\nabla \Phi_w(\mathbf{m}) = -\sum_{t=0}^{n_t} \omega_t \left[ \text{diag} \right]$$

where  

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}[t] = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\epsilon} \alpha_{\tau} \mathbf{v}[t-\tau]$$
  
 $\bar{\mathbf{u}}[t] = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\epsilon} \alpha_{\tau} \mathbf{u}[t-\tau]$ 

## $\log(\mathbf{\bar{u}}[t])(\mathbf{D}^T\mathbf{\bar{v}}[t])$

### [t- au] $\epsilon$ Maximum shift

- $\omega_t$  depends on  $lpha_ au$
- $\alpha_{\tau}$  random numbers in [0, 1]



### On-the-fly compressed gradient sampling









### On-the-fly compressed gradient sampling





Mathias Louboutin and Felix J. Herrmann, "Time compressively sampled full-waveform inversion with stochastic optimization", in SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts, 2015

#### Gives a time compressibly sampled gradient sampling direction

$$\nabla \Phi_w(\mathbf{m}) = -\sum_{t \in I} \left[ \text{diag}(\mathbf{i}) \right]$$

- redrawing new time indexes for each source
- redrawing new weights for each source

 $\mathbf{\bar{u}}[t])(\mathbf{D}^T\mathbf{\bar{v}}[t])$ 

$$I = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$$

In the previous cartoon







#### FWI





Time-shift imaging condition in seismic migration, Paul Sava and Sergey Fomel, GEOPHYSICS, VOL. 71, NO. 6 NOV-DEC 2006; P. S209–S217, 16 FIGS.10.1190/1.2338824 Filtering Random Layering Effects for Imaging and Velocity Estimation, V

F.G delCueto, W.Symes 2008



 $\sum_{t=1}^{n_t} \mathbf{u}[t+\delta t] \mathbf{v}[t+\delta t'] \mathbf{v}[t+\delta t']$  $\mathbb{E}(\delta t) = \mathbb{E}(\delta t') = 0$ 







#### Implicit time shift Full history







## Time compressed implicit time shift



### Summary

### Time-compressed implicit gradient sampling

- uses information from "nearby models"
- for an interval of length p uses  $p_{\text{different models}}^2$
- search direction is now global
- "nearby models" calculated cheaply on the fly w/ weighted stacking
- reduces memory usage



### **Overthrust 2D**

#### • Data:

- Ricker wavelet at 15Hz, 6s recording
- 151 sources at 100m interval
- 1201 receivers at 12.5m interval
- Acoustic modelling & inversion

#### • 20 PQN iterations:

- bound constraints
- TV constraint





## True velocity



0.0 0.5 (Weight of the second s 3.5 2

### FWI







## TCGSFWI

### **BG Compass 2D**

#### • Data:

- Ricker wavelet at 15Hz, 2.4s recording
- 61 sources at 100m interval
- 251 receivers at 25m interval
- Acoustic modelling & inversion

#### • 20 PQN iterations:

- bound constraints
- minimum smoothness





#### **True velocity**











#### Initial model























#### **True velocity**











#### TCGSFWI











#### Warm start FWI











#### **True velocity**









### Conclusion

#### implicit extension of the model space

same or smaller computational/memory cost than FWI

potentially more robust

easy to implement



### Future work

#### Improve the choice of :

- the weights for the stack
- the length of the interval
- study convergence (stochastic optimization)

Explore limits of the robustness

Elastic/anisotropic

More rigorous formulation of Gradient Sampling for FWI



## Acknowledgements Thank you for your attention ! <u>https://www.slim.eos.ubc.ca/</u>

This research was carried out as part of the SINBAD project with the support of the member organizations of the SINBAD Consortium.





