



“Optimal” imaging with curvelets

Felix J. Herrmann (EOS-UBC)

felix@eos.ubc.ca

www.eos.ubc.ca/~felix

thanks to: Minh Do, Mauricio Sacchi, WaveLab


Optimal Seismic imaging

We are in the business of


- ★ *Improving the **signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)***
- ★ *Preserving **edges** on the **model space***
- ★ *Sparsifying (de)-migration operators*

In the presence of noise ... Lots of it!

Seismic imaging



Seismic imaging



Basic idea

Build on the premise that you stand a better chance of solving a denoising and/or inversion problem when the model is represented optimally by basis functions ...

- **local**

- **sparse**

- **multi-scale and multi-directional**

Well behaved under migration!

Basic imaging problem

$$d = Km + n$$

d = measured data.

K = demigration operator.

m = model.

n = white Gaussian noise.

Basic imaging problem

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} : \arg \min_{\mathbf{m}} \|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{m}\|_2 + \nu J(\mathbf{m})$$

with *prior* info

$J(\mathbf{m})$ = Global penalty function

★ Tikhonov regularization

★ L^2 – norms smooth too much...

Preserve *singularities* in the presence of noise ...

Main questions

Effectively (sparsely) *represent* (de)-
migration operators: K, K^* ?

Effectively *estimate* the model m ?

- ★ preserving the edges

- ★ improving SNR

Address both issues with emphasis on
estimation!

Estimation

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} : \arg \min_{\mathbf{m}} \|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{m}\|_2 + \nu \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$$

simply solved by shrinkage

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{B}^* \Theta_t (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{m})$$


$\mathbf{B}$ = Basis-function decomp.

$\mathbf{B}^*$ = Basis-function comp.


Θ = shrinkage/threshold operator.

t = Threshold level (related to ν).


Estimation



Estimation



Estimation




Estimation

Wavelets:

- ★ represent **piece-wise smooth** functions at “**no**” additional cost
- ★ do **not** have to know where the **singularities** are
- ★ **only** good for **point-scatterers** or **horizon/vertically-aligned reflectors**


Estimation



Seismic imaging

- Use **local basis-functions** to
 - ★ ***sparsely represent*** seismic images.
 - ★ exploit **redundancy** seismic images.
 - ★ almost **diagonalize** operators.
 - ★ **approximate** the normal operator.
- Identify (de)-migration & normal operators.

Seismic imaging



$$K^*d$$

Seismic imaging

Image:

”blurry” refl.

$$\underbrace{K^*d}_{\text{noisy\&blurry pre-stack image}} = \underbrace{K^*K_m}_{\text{”blurry” refl.}} + \underbrace{K^*n}_{\text{colored noise}}$$

noisy&blurry pre-stack image

colored noise

🌐 how to project on a new basis

★ sparse on **m**.

★ makes it easy to get rid of the **coloring**.

★ sparsifies K^*K

Seismic imaging


$$\hat{m} = \underbrace{(K^* K)^{-1}}_{\Psi\text{DO}} \overbrace{K^* d}^{\text{FIO}}$$

	ΨDO	FIO	d & m	e
Wavelets	✓	✗	✗	✓
Curvelets	✓	✓	✓	✓

Directional wavelets


Construct basis functions that are

- Local in 2-D space
- Local in 2-D Fourier space (angle)




Directional wavelets

$$W_j = \{\zeta, \quad 2^j \leq |\zeta| \leq 2^{j+1}, |\theta - \theta_J| \leq \pi \cdot 2^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}\}$$




Directional wavelets

$$W_j = \{\zeta, \quad 2^j \leq |\zeta| \leq 2^{j+1}, |\theta - \theta_j| \leq \pi \cdot 2^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}\}$$



second dyadic partitioning

Directional wavelets



Optimal app. rate


$$\|m - \tilde{m}_m^{\text{wavelet}}\|_2 \propto m^{-1}$$

$$\|m - \tilde{m}_m^{\text{curvelet}}\| \propto m^{-2}$$


Non-linear approximation rate is ruler of the game!

Seismic imaging

adapted
from
Chauris '02




Seismic imaging




Seismic imaging


adapted
from
Chauris '02




Seismic imaging




Seismic imaging




Seismic imaging




Seismic imaging




Seismic imaging




Seismic imaging



Seismic imaging



Seismic imaging



Seismic imaging

Works so well because we exploit

- **continuity** *along* reflectors
- **smoothness** **e-direction** (off-set)
- *adaptive* **local** smoothing

Remaining challenges:

- deal with the operator/coloring
- compensate for the normal operator

Imaging

Insert basis-function (de)-composition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d} &= \mathbf{K} \overbrace{\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}} + \mathbf{n} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}} \cdot = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{W}^* \mathbf{C}^* \cdot$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^* \cdot = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{K}^* \cdot$$

Seismic imaging

Use $\tilde{K}$, $\tilde{K}^*$ in a CG-scheme.


Without regularization CG fits noise ...

- ★ no image
- ★ no reliable estimates for $\mathbf{m}$

Our solution


- ★ correct for coloring noise
- ★ apply thresholding
- ★ correct for normal operator

Seismic imaging




K_n^*

Seismic imaging




$$\mathbf{C}^* \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}^*$$

Seismic imaging




$$KC^* \left(\dots \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \right)^*$$

Seismic imaging




$$K^* C^* \left(\cdots 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \right)^*$$

Seismic imaging



Seismic imaging



Estimation

Estimate with WV/Quasi-SVD

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{\text{off-sets}} \mathbf{B}^* \Gamma^\dagger \Theta_{t(\Gamma)} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^* \mathbf{d} \right)$$


Curvelets almost diagonalize $\mathbf{K}^* \mathbf{K}$

$$\mathbf{K}^* \mathbf{K} \cdot \approx \mathbf{B}^{-1} \Gamma \mathbf{B} \cdot \iff \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^* \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \cdot \approx \Gamma \cdot$$


★ **correct for coloring $\mathbf{n}$ via Γ**

★ **correct for the normal operator**


Seismic imaging



Seismic imaging



Seismic imaging



Estimation


Compute Γ with MC-sampling:

$$\Gamma = \text{diag}\{Cov_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}\} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}\tilde{\mathbf{n}}\right)^2$$


Set the threshold

$$t(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 3\sigma\Gamma & \text{coarsest scales} \\ 4\sigma\Gamma & \text{finest scales} \end{cases}$$


Optimal imaging




Optimal imaging




Optimal imaging




Optimal imaging




Optimal imaging



Optimal imaging



Optimal imaging



Conclusions

- ***Optimal*** representation for m
- ***Diagonal*** (symbol) normal operator whitens n !
- ***Thresholding works***
- Exploiting redundancy pays off:
 - ★ *smoothness* along reflectors
 - ★ *smoothness* in e -direction
- **Improved the SNR!**

Conclusions

challenges remain:

- ★ direct computation operators in basis-function domain is a challenge
- ★ beyond L^1 -norm
- ★ global optimization on the coefficients

Singularities in m preserved!

- **NSERC**
- **Valhall license partners BP, Shell, Total and Armada Hess**