Released to public domain under Creative Commons license type BY (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). Copyright (c) 2009 SLIM group @ The University of British Columbia.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA | VANCOUVER



Compressive seismic imaging with simultaneous acquisition



Felix J. Herrmann*

Joint work with Yogi Erlangga, and Tim Lin

*Seismic Laboratory for Imaging & Modeling Department of Earth & Ocean Sciences The University of British Columbia





Seismic acquisition





After imaging



Observations

- Seismic imaging methods are mostly based on *linearizations*
- Seismic imaging methods are despite the spectral gap able to
 - locate major singularities
 - assign some sense of reflection strength
- Seismic images
 - are derived from *multiexperiment* data (petabytes) <=> *redundancy*
 - permit sparse representation by multiscale & multidirection transforms that capture the "wavefront set" of the subsurface reflectors (e.g. curvelets)
- Seismic images do *not capture the whole picture!*
- There is a push for full waveform inversion ...

Multiexperiment PDE-constrained optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{U} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{m} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}\|_{2}^{2} \text{ subject to } \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{m}]\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{Q} + \text{Free surface BC}$$

- \mathbf{P} = Total multi-source and multi-frequency data volume
- \mathbf{D} = Detection operator
- \mathbf{U} = Solution of the Helmholtz equation
- \mathbf{H} = Discretized multi-frequency Helmholtz system
- \mathbf{Q} = Unknown seismic sources
- \mathbf{m} = Unknown model, e.g. $c^{-2}(x)$

Wavefield simulations

Based on discretization of the Helmholtz equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}u &= -\Delta u - \omega^2 m u = q \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{\omega_1} & 0 & \\ 0 & \mathcal{H}_{\omega_2} & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ & & 0 & \mathcal{H}_{\omega_{n_f}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{U}_{\omega_1}}_{[\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{u}_{n_s}]_{\omega_1}}_{[\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{u}_{n_s}]_{\omega_{n_f}}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_{\omega_1}}_{[\mathbf{q}_1 \ \mathbf{q}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{q}_{n_s}]_{\omega_1}}_{[\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{b}_{n_s}]_{\omega_{n_f}}} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_j} := \mathcal{H}(\omega_j), \quad \omega_j = 2\pi j \Delta f, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_f$$

Δf frequency sample interval

Adjoint state methods [Plessix '06 & many others]

For each *separate* source **q** solve the **unconstrained problem**:

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{M}}\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{p}-\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}]\|_2^2 \qquad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m},\mathbf{q}]=\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^{-1}[\mathbf{m}]\mathbf{q}$$

where *model updates* <=> *migrated image*

$$\delta \mathbf{m} = \Re \left(\sum_{\omega} \omega^2 \sum_{s} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \odot \mathbf{v} \right) = \mathbf{K}^*[\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{Q}] \delta \mathbf{d}$$

with $\delta \mathbf{d} = \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P} - \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{Q}])$

involve single *implicit* solves of Helmholtz system

$$\mathbf{H}[\mathbf{m}]\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{q} \text{ and } \mathbf{H}^*[\mathbf{m}]\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}$$

with

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{D}^H (\mathbf{p} - \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}])$$

Challenges: there are many ...

Helmholtz system is *indefinite* & *ill conditioned* => lack of convergence *indirect* Krylov solvers

Multiexperiment setup with *multiple right-hand-sides* is *computationally* **prohibitive** as part of *iterative* Newton methods

Inversion problem can be both over- and underdetermined [Symes, '09]

- data cannot be explained fully
- there are local minima
- many velocity models may explain data within some error

Proposed ideas to tackle *multimodality* by **extensions & focusing** make the situation worse by additional **degrees of freedom**

Indirect solver

Preconditioner [Erlangga, Oosterlee, Vuik, 2006]

$$\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\wedge}{=} \left(-\Delta - (1 - \beta \hat{i}) \omega^2 m \right)_h, \quad \beta = (0, 1]$$

Deflation operator [Erlangga, Nabben, '08, FJH, Erlangga, '08]

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}} := \boldsymbol{\mathsf{I}} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Z}} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Z}} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}^{\top}$$

with: $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{Y}^{\top} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}$ \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y} multigrid-type interpolation matrices Similar computational complexity as TDFD ...

Behavior eigenvalues



Challenges: there are many ...

Helmholtz system is *indefinite* & *ill conditioned* => lack of convergence *indirect* Krylov solvers

Multiexperiment setup with *multiple right-hand-sides* is *computationally* **prohibitive**

Inversion problem can be both over- and underdetermined

- data cannot be explained fully
- there are local minima
- many velocity models may explain data within some error

Proposed plans to tackle *multimodality* by **extensions & focusing** make the situation worse by additional **degrees of freedom**

System-size reduction

- Apply CS to reduce cost of *wavefield* simulation with Helmholtz
 - use simultaneous sources instead of separated sources
 - leverage transform-domain sparsity & randomized subsampling by one-norm sparsity promotion
 - reduce size Helmholtz system
 - sources (number of right-hand sides)
 - angular frequencies (number of blocks)
- Apply CS to reduce cost of computing *image volumes* by multidimensional correlations via *explicit* matrix-matrix multiplies
 - randomize and subsample wavefields in model space
 - leverage transform-domain sparsity and focusing in the model space by joint sparsity promotion with mixed (1,2) norms
 - reduce costs of storage and explicit matrix-matrix multiplies
 - sources (right-hand sides), receivers, depth
 - angular frequencies (blocks)

Relation to existing work

Simultaneous & continuous acquisition:

 Efficient Seismic Forward Modeling using Simultaneous Random Sources and Sparsity by N. Neelamani and C. Krohn and J. Krebs and M. Deffenbaugh and J. Romberg, '08

Simultaneous simulations & migration:

- Faster shot-record depth migrations using phase encoding by Morton & Ober, '98.
- Phase encoding of shot records in prestack migration by Romero et. al., '00.

Imaging:

- How to choose a subset of frequencies in frequency-domain finite-difference migration by Mulder & Plessix, '04.
- Efficient waveform inversion and imaging: A strategy for selecting temporal frequencies by Sirque and Pratt, '04.

Full-waveform inversion:

- 3D prestack plane-wave, full-waveform inversion by Vigh and Starr, '08

• Wavefield extrapolation:

- Compressed wavefield extrapolation by T. Lin and F.J.H, '07
- Compressive wave computations by L. Demanet (SIA '08 MS79 & Preprint)

Tools

Compressive sensing based on Johnson-Lindenstrauss embeddings

- *Compressive sensing* [Donoho, 06', Candes, Romberg, Tao, '06]

$$\mathbf{p}~=~\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$$
 [randomized subsampling]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2} \leq \sigma$$

 $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \approx \mathbf{x}$

Fast matrix computations based on Johnson-Lindenstrauss embeddings

 Improved Approximation Algorithms for Large Matrices via Random Projections by Tamás Sarlós, '08

$\mathbf{AB} \approx \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{RM} \right)^* \left(\mathbf{RM} \right) \mathbf{B}$

Joint sparsity-promotion with mixed (1,2) norm minimization

– Joint-sparse recovery from multiple measurements by E. van den Berg and M. Friedlander, '09

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_{1,2} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{B}\|_{2,2} \leq \sigma,$$



System-size reduction [FJH, Lin, and Erlangga, '09]

Subsample along *source* and *frequency* coordinates

Use *fast* transform-based sampling algorithms such as *scrambled Fourier* [Romberg, '08] or *Hadamard* ensembles [Gan et. al., '08]



- Different random restriction for each $n'_s \ll n_s$ simultaneous experiments
- Restriction reduces system size



Sparsifying transform [Demanet '06]

- Use fast discrete 2-D Curvelet transform based on wrapping along shot and receiver coordinates
 - compresses highly geometrical features of monochromatic wavefields
 - incoherent with compressive-sampling matrix that acts along the source coordinate
- Use fast discrete wavelet transform along the time coordinate
 - compresses front-like features arriving along the time direction
 - reasonable incoherent with sampling of angular frequencies
- Combine both transforms through a **Kronecker** product

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{C}_{2d} \otimes \mathbf{W}$$

Velocity models





Green's functions





Recovered data



300 SPGL1 iteration



Observations & outlook

- **CS** provides a *linear* sampling paradigm
 - breaks subsampling-related coherent interferences by turning them into harmless noise
 - degree of subsampling commensurate with transform-domain sparsity
 - subsampling of solutions to PDEs
- Works as long as recovery costs are smaller than simulation-cost reductions
- Robust (via sparsity promotion) instance of exploiting invariance = "sparsity conservation" of multiscale transform under certain solution operators
- Bottom line: numerical modeling costs are no longer determined by the size of the discretization but by transform-domain compressibility of the solution ...

Challenges: there are many ...

Helmholtz system is *indefinite* & *ill conditioned* => lack of convergence *indirect* Krylov solvers

Multiexperiment setup with *multiple right-hand-sides* is computational prohibitive

Inversion problem can be both over- and underdetermined

- data cannot be explained fully
- there are local minima
- many velocity models may explain data within some error

Proposed plans to tackle *multimodality* by **extensions & focusing** make the situation worse by additional **degrees of freedom**

System-size reduction

- Apply CS to reduce cost of *wavefield* simulation with Helmholtz
 - use simultaneous sources instead of separated sources
 - leverage transform-domain sparsity & randomized subsampling by one-norm sparsity promotion
 - reduce size Helmholtz system
 - sources (number of right-hand sides)
 - angular frequencies (number of blocks)
- Apply CS to reduce cost of computing *image volumes* by multidimensional correlations via *explicit* matrix-matrix multiplies
 - randomize and subsample wavefields in model space
 - leverage transform-domain sparsity and focusing in the model space by joint sparsity promotion with mixed (1,2) norms
 - reduce costs of storage and explicit matrix-matrix multiplies
 - sources (right-hand sides), receivers, depth
 - angular frequencies (blocks)

Tools

Compressive sensing based on Johnson-Lindenstrauss embeddings

- Compressive sensing [Donoho, 06', Candes, Romberg, Tao, '06]

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$$
 [randomized subsampling]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2} \leq \sigma$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \approx \mathbf{x}$$

Fast matrix computations based on Johnson-Lindenstrauss embeddings

 Improved Approximation Algorithms for Large Matrices via Random Projections by Tamás Sarlós, '08

$$AB \approx A (RM)^* (RM) B$$

Joint sparsity-promotion with mixed (1,2) norm minimization

– Joint-sparse recovery from multiple measurements by E. van den Berg and M. Friedlander, '09

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_{1,2} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{B}\|_{2,2} \leq \sigma,$$

Differential semblance

- Invoke physical principle of focusing [Claerbout & many others] <=> mathematical principle of extensions [Symes]
- Motivated by Symes' differential semblance principle [Symes '09]: "Amongst all possible quadratic forms in the data, parameterized by velocity, of the form

$$\min_{\mathbf{m}} \| (\mathsf{P}_{h} \underbrace{\delta \mathbf{I}(\cdot, h; \mathbf{m}, \delta \mathbf{d})}_{\uparrow}) \|_{2} \quad \text{with } \underbrace{\mathsf{P}_{h} \cdot = \mathbf{h}}_{\mathsf{P}_{h} \cdot = \mathbf{h}}_{\mathsf{redundant coordinate}},$$

only differential semblance is smooth jointly as function of smooth perturbations in velocity and finite energy perturbations in data [Stolk & Symes, '03]"

Forms the basis of *nonlinear* migration velocity analysis on *linearized* data [Symes, '09].

Image volume

Compute multi-D *cross-correlations* on *multiexperiment* solutions of the forward- and reverse-time Helmholtz systems--i.e,

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{I}(m,h,t) = \left(\mathbf{\bar{U}} * \mathbf{V}^T\right)$$

with

$$\mathbf{U}_f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_{n_f} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\mathbf{V}_f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_{n_f} \end{bmatrix}$

 $\Gamma \overline{U}_1$

 $\left[\int \mathbf{V}_{1}^{T} \right]$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{U}} * \mathbf{V}^T \end{pmatrix} := \mathbf{T}_{(x_s, x_r, \omega) \mapsto (m, h, t)} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{U} \\ & \mathbf{U} \\ & \mathbf{U}_{n_f} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{U}_{n_f} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$m = \frac{1}{2}(x_s + x_r)$$
 and $h = \frac{1}{2}(x_s - x_r)$

High dimensional and highly redundant ...

Imaging condition

Claerbout's imaging principle:

$$\delta \mathbf{m} = \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{I}(\cdot, h = 0, t = 0)$$
$$= \mathbf{K}^* \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d}$$

- implicit in adjoint state method
- Image volume
 - very large because of additional degree of freedom
 - expensive to store

System-size reduction by CS

For each angular frequency, subsample with CS matrix

sub sampler $\mathbf{RM} := \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{1}^{\sigma} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{1}^{\rho} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{1}^{\zeta} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{R}_{n_{f}^{\prime}}^{\sigma} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{n_{f}^{\prime}}^{\rho} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{n_{f}^{\prime}}^{\zeta} \end{bmatrix}}^{\mathrm{random phase encoder}} \overbrace{\left(\mathbf{F}_{3}^{*}\left(e^{\hat{i}\theta}\right)\right)\mathbf{F}_{3}}^{\mathrm{random phase encoder}},$ with $n_{f}^{\prime} \times n_{\sigma}^{\prime} \times n_{\rho}^{\prime} \times n_{\zeta}^{\prime} \ll n_{f} \times n_{s} \times n_{r} \times n_{z}$

Model-space CS subsampling along subsurface source, receiver, and depth coordinates yielding an *approximate* **extended** image

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{I}(m,h,t) \approx \left(\mathbf{\bar{U}}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M})^* * \mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}^T\right)$$



Example: matched filter



Recovery from 64-fold subsampling ...

- Noisy
- Not focused

Tools

Compressive sensing based on Johnson-Lindenstrauss embeddings

- Compressive sensing [Donoho, 06', Candes, Romberg, Tao, '06]
 - b = RMx [randomized subsampling]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2} \leq \sigma$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \approx \mathbf{x}$$

Fast matrix computations based on Johnson-Lindenstrauss embeddings

 Improved Approximation Algorithms for Large Matrices via Random Projections by Tamás Sarlós, '08

$$\mathbf{AB} \approx \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{RM} \right)^* \left(\mathbf{RM} \right) \mathbf{B}$$

Joint sparsity-promotion with mixed (1,2) norm minimization

- Joint-sparse recovery from multiple measurements by E. van den Berg and M. Friedlander, '09

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \arg\min \|\mathbf{X}\|_{1,2}$$
 subject to $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{B}\|_{2,2} \leq \sigma$,

Extended Born & focusing

Define *extended linearized* forward model [Symes, '09]:

$ar{\mathbf{K}}[\mathbf{m},\mathbf{Q}]\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{I}pprox \boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{D}$

- multiexperiment form *amenable* for *joint sparsity promotion*
- introduce penalty term that penalizes *defocusing*

Form augmented system with **focusing**:

$ar{\mathbf{K}} oldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{I}$	\approx	$\delta { m D}$	data fit
$\lambda^2 P_h oldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{I}$	\approx	0	focusing

with $P_h \cdot = \mathbf{h} \cdot$ annihilator that increasingly penalizes non-zero offsets.

Solution involves multi-D "deconvolution" (adjoint of cross correlation):

$$(\mathbf{U}^* \star \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{I}) \quad \approx \quad \mathbf{V}^T$$

Compressed linearized inversion

Compressively sample augmented system that includes sparsity synthesis operator--i.e,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{U}^* \star \mathbf{S}^* \mathbf{X} \right) &\approx \mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}^T \\ \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{X} &\approx \mathbf{0} \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} \approx \mathbf{B} \end{aligned}$$

with the sparsifying transform **S** for each offset h given by the curvelet or wavelet transform

Recover focused solution by mixed (1,2)-norm minimization.

Promote sparsity amongst images though one-norm on columns

Penalize energy amongst rows => focusing

Joint-sparsity promotion [van den berg & Friedlander, '09]

Recover focused solution by mixed (1,2)-norm minimization:

$$ilde{\mathbf{X}} = rg\min\|\mathbf{X}\|_{1,2} \quad ext{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{B}\|_{2,2} \leq \sigma,$$
 \mathbf{X} with

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|_{1,2} := \sum_{i \in \operatorname{rows}(\mathbf{X})} \|\operatorname{row}_i(\mathbf{X})^*\|_2$$
$$\|\mathbf{X}\|_{2,2} := \left(\sum_{i \in \operatorname{rows}(\mathbf{X})} \|\operatorname{row}_i(\mathbf{X})^*\|_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Solved with SPGL1.

Seismic Laboratory for Imaging and Modeling

and





Recovery from 64-fold subsampling ...



Common-image gathers are focussed.

Observations & outlook

- CS allows for a *compression* of data volumes without *significant loss* of *information* yielding a *reduction* in *computational* costs
- CS has *direct* implications for seismic acquisition--from *sequential* to *simultaneous* acquisition
- *Joint* sparsity promotion allows for *focusing*
- Speculation: Proposed approach may be suitable to handle Symes's proposal to add a degree of freedom yielding a *nonlocal forward* model in tandem with an inverse problem that penalizes *nonlocality* through *focusing ...*

Acknowledgments

- E. van den Berg and M. P. Friedlander for SPGL1 (www.cs.ubc.ca/ labs/scl/spgl1) & Sparco (www.cs.ubc.ca/labs/scl/sparco)
- Sergey Fomel and Yang Liu for Madagascar (rsf.sf.net)
- E. Candes and the Curvelab team

This work was carried out as part of the SINBAD project with financial support from the collaborative research & development (CRD) grant DNOISE (334810-05) funded by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) and matching contributions from BG, BP, Chevron, ExxonMobil and Shell.

slim.eos.ubc.ca

and... Thank you!